True Worship

In Spirit and in Truth: The Temple, the Cross, and the Father Within

Stephen T. McClard

Introduction: The Mystery of True Worship

What is true worship? Is it calling upon a distant Father, pleading for the attention of a God imagined somewhere beyond creation? Is it the repetition of sacred names, the adoration of religious images, or the performance of rituals intended to bridge an imagined separation between humanity and the Divine? Or is true worship the realization that the distance itself is the illusion we have entered this world to overcome? These questions strike at the foundation of religious orthodoxy because they require us to distinguish the outward expression of devotion from the inward realization that gives devotion its meaning. Jesus answered the question directly in John 4:24: "**God is a Spirit: and they that worship him must worship him in spirit and in truth.**" This statement contains no requirement for an image, a sacred geography, a mediator standing between the individual and the Source of Being, or an external personality whose attention must be obtained. Spirit and Truth are the essential conditions of worship because they concern the nature of what is being realized, not merely the actions performed by the worshiper.

The central problem in conventional worship arises when the symbol is mistaken for the reality it represents. A religious image may be useful in directing consciousness toward a mystery, but the image cannot become the source of the mystery itself. Similarly, the name of God may point toward the nature of Being, but repeating the name does not necessarily disclose the nature it signifies. A person may spend an entire lifetime calling upon the Father while remaining unaware of the Father's presence within the temple of his own consciousness. The purpose of true worship is not to persuade God to become present, but to awaken to the nature of the Presence through which existence is already possible. When this distinction is understood, worship ceases to be the effort of a separated being attempting to reach an absent deity and becomes the conscious expression of the Love, Truth, Unity, and Wisdom already proceeding from First Cause.

Idols and Images

The greatest idol in religion may not be the statue standing in the temple, but the image of God constructed within the imagination of the worshiper. Genesis 1:27 declares that God created mankind in His own image, yet humanity has spent much of its religious history imagining that image as a distant personality residing somewhere beyond the world. We search the heavens for a Father whose image has already been placed within the Son, and we overlook the living temple through which that image is expressed. Colossians 1:15 calls the Son "the image of the invisible God," while Hebrews 1:3 describes him as the express image of His person. The paradox is that humanity continually directs its adoration

toward an imagined external form while failing to recognize the image present within its own embodied existence.

The Son is not an idol to be contemplated from a distance, but the revelation of the nature we are meant to recognize and express. The image is not the Father Himself, for First Cause cannot be reduced to a form, personality, or representation. The image is the expression through which the invisible becomes intelligible. To worship that image as though it were separate from the Source, while refusing to recognize its meaning within ourselves, is to mistake the reflection for the light.

My personal understanding of worship begins with an inward and solemn reflection upon the problem of existence. Prayer, in this sense, is not a conversation with an absent Father who must be informed of my circumstances or persuaded to intervene. It is the deliberate turning of consciousness toward the mystery that requires understanding. When I pray, I bring the problem into the stillness of awareness, examining its nature, its contradictions, and the assumptions through which I have interpreted it. I ask what remains concealed beneath the appearance of separation and what must change within my own understanding before the problem can be rightly divided. This is worship in Spirit and Truth because the objective is not to bend First Cause toward my desires, but to bring my desires, judgments, and perceptions into agreement with the nature of First Cause. The inward silence becomes the sanctuary where the imagined distance between the Father and the Son is examined. True prayer does not require God to become conscious of my problem. It requires me to become conscious of the Truth through which the problem may be understood.

Consider incarnation as a sandbox prepared for the education of children. Within its boundaries, the child encounters limitations, constructs temporary worlds, establishes rules, experiences conflict, and discovers the consequences of his own imagination. The sandbox is not a punishment, nor is it the permanent home for which the child was created. It is a protected environment in which understanding can develop through relative experience. Our embodied existence serves this same purpose. The waters of baptism bring consciousness into the sandbox of material conditions, while the cross of the body establishes the boundaries within which the lessons unfold. Religion, philosophy, and spiritual traditions offer tools for making sense of this environment. Their stories, commandments, symbols, and rituals help the developing consciousness distinguish the consequences of ignorance from those of wisdom. Yet the greatest error would be to mistake the sandbox for the entirety of existence or to believe that the toys and temporary constructions within it constitute the ultimate purpose of the lesson. The child does not honor the sandbox by refusing to outgrow it. The education succeeds when the child acquires the understanding necessary to step beyond its boundaries.

The ancient comparison of religious teachings to a boat or raft expresses this same mystery. In the Buddhist parable of the raft, the traveler constructs a means of crossing dangerous waters, but does not continue carrying that raft as a burden after reaching the opposite shore. The teaching has fulfilled its purpose precisely because it has delivered the traveler to the destination for which it was needed. I regard the world's religious and philosophical traditions in much the same way. Their different languages and symbols are vessels constructed from the materials available to the nations that received them. Each may preserve a strand of the rainbow's light, and each may assist consciousness in crossing the waters of confusion toward inward realization. To condemn the vessels merely because their designs differ would be to misunderstand their purpose. Yet to insist that any vessel must be carried

forever, even after the crossing is complete, would be an equally serious misunderstanding. The value of religion is not diminished when its student finally recognizes the Truth toward which it points. Its purpose is fulfilled when the symbol has accomplished its work and the reality can be known without dependence upon the symbol.

The outer shore is necessary because it represents the world of distinctions through which the journey begins. We require language before we can investigate what language cannot contain, symbols before we can recognize what the symbols signify, and experience before we can distinguish inherited belief from realized understanding. The outward traditions provide the boats, while the waters of incarnation provide the conditions of crossing. Yet the Kingdom is not another geographical destination waiting beyond the material world. It is the inward recognition of the unity that was present throughout the journey, even while consciousness imagined itself separated from its Source. When the traveler reaches this inward shore, the boat can be set aside because its purpose has been completed. The doctrines, rituals, and outward images no longer need to serve as substitutes for realization. They may still be honored as useful instruments, but they must not become burdens that prevent the traveler from stepping onto the ground they were intended to reach. We do not destroy the boat in anger or condemn those still crossing. We simply recognize that the vessel is not the destination and that clinging to it would preserve the very dependency the journey was meant to overcome.

Then the true work begins. Emerging from the sandbox does not mean escaping responsibility for those who remain within it, and reaching the inward Kingdom does not authorize indifference toward the world. It reveals why the education was necessary in the first place. The child who has learned the consequences of selfishness, division, and ignorance becomes capable of using that knowledge for the benefit of others. The traveler who has crossed the waters can assist those who have not yet reached the shore, without demanding that everyone construct an identical boat. The Son who recognizes the Father's nature within the living temple no longer needs to direct endless adoration toward an imagined external image, because the meaning of that image must now become visible through action. What began as prayerful investigation becomes the expression of Love, Truth, Unity, and Wisdom in the world. This is the movement from worship as preparation to worship as realization, and finally from realization to service. The Father is not honored by our permanent dependence upon the symbols that introduced Him, but by the maturity of the children who have learned to express His nature. Once the shore within has been reached, we step out of the boat, turn toward the Whole, and begin the work for which the crossing prepared us.

The Father Is Not Missing

The assumption that God is somewhere else creates the very division that spiritual realization is intended to overcome. Religion frequently portrays humanity as standing below a heavenly ruler whose favor must be obtained through obedience, sacrifice, or repeated declarations of devotion. Yet the words of Jesus direct attention toward a different understanding. In John 14:10, he declares, “The Father that dwelleth in me, he doeth the works.” In John 10:30, he states, “I and my Father are one.” These statements invite investigation into the relationship between First Cause and the relative consciousness (I AM) through which divine nature becomes expressed. The Father is not an external personality whose absence requires compensation through worship. The Father is the inexhaustible

Source of Being, whose nature cannot be separated from the life through which that Being is manifested.

When I say that we are the Father within the temple called the Lord, I am not suggesting that the individual human ego has become an independent Absolute. Such a claim would merely replace one form of separation with another, elevating the relative personality into the position of First Cause. Rather, I am directing attention toward the unity of Being in which the Father gives life, the Son receives and expresses that life, and the Lord represents the governing identity through which the relative experiences of creation unfold. These are distinctions within the expression of one reality, not evidence of independent substances competing for authority. The individual enters incarnation possessing the gift of awareness without necessarily recognizing the greater identity through which awareness exists. Spiritual realization is the process through which the governing consciousness awakens to its origin and becomes consistent with the nature of the Father.

The Son Learns from a Father

The distinction between the Father and the Lord is therefore essential to understanding the mystery. First Cause does not require correction, development, or the acquisition of qualities it previously lacked. The Lord, understood as the governing identity of relative Being, encounters the consequences of expression and learns through the conditions of creation. Hebrews 5:8 describes the Son as learning obedience through suffering, indicating a movement through experience toward completion. Within my interpretation, this is not the biography of an immutable First Cause becoming something greater than perfection. It is the refinement of secondary cause through the consequences of its own existence. The Lord discovers the Father's nature by experiencing what becomes of the world when Love is expressed or withheld. The completion of this education occurs when the governing identity ceases to mistake its authority for the Source of its Being and becomes transparent to the Love from which all relative existence proceeds.

The Body as the Living Temple

Paul asks in 1 Corinthians 3:16, “Know ye not that ye are the temple of God, and that the Spirit of God dwelleth in you?” The implications of this declaration are far greater than the customary instruction to treat the body respectfully. If the human being is the temple, then the sanctuary of divine realization cannot be restricted to a building, an institution, or a geographical location. The temple is the living habitation of consciousness, the embodied expression through which Spirit encounters the world of relationship, limitation, and experience. The mysteries represented by ancient architecture are therefore capable of being contemplated inwardly. The outer court, the sanctuary, and the Holy of Holies become distinctions through which awareness investigates the movement from outward appearances toward recognition of its own spiritual foundation.

The veil separating the sanctuary from the innermost presence represents the apparent division between the relative mind and its greater identity. As long as consciousness identifies exclusively with the temporary conditions of the body, it regards the Source of its existence as something concealed beyond its reach. The body becomes the object of experience, while the awareness through which the body is

known remains unexamined. Yet the very consciousness searching for God is already participating in the Being it seeks. The veil is lifted when the mind recognizes that the apparent separation has arisen within its own understanding rather than through an actual division of First Cause. This does not eliminate the meaningful distinctions between individuals or deny the genuine conditions of embodied life. It reveals that every distinction remains contained within the greater Unity from which no being can become absolutely independent.

The holiness of the temple is consequently not established by the superiority of the individual occupying it. No human being possesses a greater claim upon First Cause by virtue of religious status, intellectual achievement, or spiritual vocabulary. Every conscious being receives existence before acquiring the merit by which religious systems frequently attempt to measure worth. The temple becomes an expression of holiness when the consciousness inhabiting it recognizes the shared Being present in others and willingly acts for their good. The presence of Spirit is not demonstrated merely by announcing that God dwells within. It becomes visible when the nature of the Source is expressed through the relationships of ordinary life.

Baptism as Incarnation

Baptism is commonly understood as an outward religious ceremony through which a believer identifies with a particular faith, receives purification, or enters a covenantal community. Within my interpretation, however, baptism signifies something far more universal: the incarnation of consciousness into the waters of material existence. Every human being enters the world through water, emerging from the womb into a condition of division, limitation, sensation, and temporal experience. The immersion represents the descent of awareness into the relative conditions through which identity develops, while the emergence from the waters represents the possibility of awakening to the spiritual nature concealed beneath those conditions. Baptism is therefore not merely an event performed upon an already existing individual. It is a representation of the entire process through which Being enters incarnation and acquires knowledge through experience.

The waters of incarnation establish the conditions necessary for relative consciousness to recognize distinctions. Light becomes intelligible through its apparent contrast with darkness, abundance is understood through the experience of scarcity, and the nature of Love becomes increasingly meaningful through encounters with selfishness, generosity, separation, and restoration. These experiences do not create the original goodness of First Cause, nor do they establish suffering as an eternal requirement of existence. They provide the temporary conditions through which an unfinished understanding may develop toward conscious realization. The human being enters the waters possessing awareness but without complete knowledge of the greater identity from which awareness proceeds. Through the changing circumstances of life, the mind gathers the consequences of its expressions until it begins to distinguish its enduring foundation from the temporary forms through which that foundation has been experienced.

To be born again is therefore to awaken within the incarnation already received. The outward ceremony of baptism may beautifully illustrate this mystery, but the symbol must not be confused with the entire spiritual process. Water does not manufacture Spirit, and ritual participation does not guarantee

realization. The immersion describes the condition through which consciousness learns, while the resurrection from the waters signifies recognition of a nature that was never absent from its Source. The second birth is not the acquisition of a new substance but the awakening of understanding concerning the Being that made the first birth possible. What begins as innocent participation in life becomes the conscious recognition of its purpose, and the knowledge acquired through the waters can finally be carried beyond the limitations that made its discovery necessary.

The Cross as the Body

The cross provides another symbol whose meaning extends beyond its historical association with execution and suffering. Its intersecting axes may be contemplated as the meeting of timeless Spirit with the horizontal conditions of temporal existence. The vertical represents the enduring relationship between consciousness and its Source, while the horizontal represents the world of relative distinction through which experience unfolds. Their intersection forms a center in which awareness encounters embodiment. Within this symbolism, the cross is the body itself, the living condition through which consciousness participates in the world of limitation, consequence, and becoming. To take up the cross is therefore to accept the responsibility of incarnation rather than to seek suffering as though pain were itself a divine achievement.

The body is subject to hunger, desire, sickness, aging, injury, and death. These conditions become powerful instruments of identification because the mind ordinarily assumes that what happens to the body defines the totality of its existence. Fear arises when consciousness mistakes the transformation of its temporary form for the absolute destruction of Being. The cross reveals this confusion by presenting the body as both the instrument through which experience becomes possible and the limitation through which spiritual identity may remain concealed. The purpose of the symbol is not to establish suffering as an eternal virtue, but to disclose the distinction between what can change and what remains beyond the authority of those changes.

The resurrection completes the meaning of the cross because the temporary instrument cannot constitute the final purpose of the process. A lesson designed to produce understanding does not require perpetual ignorance, just as an instrument of refinement does not remain necessary after its work has reached completion. In this sense, the cross is the school of incarnation, not the destination of Spirit. The consciousness that has learned through limitation carries the meaning of its experience forward without requiring the indefinite preservation of the conditions through which that meaning was acquired. This is why the symbolism of crucifixion must ultimately be read together with resurrection. The former reveals the temporary burden of embodied existence, while the latter points toward the recognition that the enduring nature of Being cannot be reduced to the conditions of the body.

Adoration Without Idolatry

Adoration is not the same as the worship of an image. Genuine adoration arises through recognition of the Good and expresses reverence for the Truth, Love, Unity, and Wisdom through which the nature of First Cause becomes intelligible. Idolatry begins when the image is granted the authority belonging to the reality it was intended to signify. The image may be a statue, an artistic representation, a sacred

name, an inherited doctrine, or an imagined personality constructed through religious interpretation. None of these is necessarily without value. Symbols are indispensable to the development of understanding because consciousness ordinarily approaches the unseen through the forms available within experience. The difficulty emerges when the symbol becomes the final object of devotion and prevents the worshiper from recognizing the nature toward which it points.

A person may spend a lifetime praising the Love of God while refusing love to those whose beliefs differ from his own. Another may defend the unity of divine Being while insisting that entire nations or traditions possess nothing of value because their language differs from his inherited theology. Still another may exalt mercy while accepting permanent exclusion as the final purpose of divine justice. Such contradictions reveal the distinction between the defense of religious images and the actual expression of the nature those images claim to represent. If worship produces hostility toward the beings who share the same foundation of existence, the worshiper must investigate whether devotion has been directed toward First Cause or toward an image fashioned by the divided mind.

This is why true worship must remain inseparable from the testing of spirits and the right division of truth. Religious authority cannot establish goodness merely by claiming divine origin, nor can repetition transform an interpretation into realization. Every doctrine must be examined through the relationships and consequences it produces. If the nature of First Cause is unconditional Love, then any theology that makes hatred, cruelty, or permanent division the ultimate expression of divine perfection presents a contradiction requiring further investigation. The proper response is not necessarily the immediate condemnation of the tradition containing that doctrine, for every tradition may preserve a strand of the light. Rather, the reader must distinguish the enduring revelation from the limitations of the relative understanding through which it has been expressed.

Worship in Spirit and Truth

When Jesus speaks of worship in Spirit and Truth, he moves the question beyond the competition between religious locations, institutions, and inherited forms. The conversation with the Samaritan woman in John 4 directly concerns the dispute over where proper worship should occur. Jesus does not resolve the disagreement by identifying another exclusive geographical sanctuary. He directs attention toward a manner of worship whose validity rests upon the nature of the relationship rather than the location in which it is performed. This shift becomes especially meaningful when the living body is recognized as the temple. Spirit cannot be confined to a structure made by human hands, and Truth cannot be established merely by the authority of a tradition claiming exclusive possession of divine favor.

Within my interpretation, worship in Spirit is the conscious recognition of the living Being through which every relative identity exists. Worship in Truth is the expression of conduct consistent with that recognition. One without the other remains incomplete. Spiritual language without truthful action becomes performance, while an intellectual description of Truth without the realization of shared Being remains a conceptual exercise. The completed relationship requires consciousness to recognize the nature of First Cause and willingly embody that nature through the conditions of ordinary life. Worship

then ceases to be an occasional activity separated from work, family, service, and responsibility. It becomes the manner in which awareness participates in every relationship.

This does not require the rejection of prayer, music, contemplation, sacred reading, or religious gathering. These practices can provide meaningful opportunities for gratitude, reflection, and communal recognition of the Good. Their value, however, depends upon the nature they awaken and express rather than the mere performance of the outward act. Prayer may become communion instead of bargaining, adoration may become gratitude instead of flattery, and sacred gathering may become an opportunity for mutual service rather than the reinforcement of religious superiority. The purpose is not to multiply expressions of devotion in the hope that a distant Father will eventually respond. It is to recognize the Presence already sustaining the temple and allow that recognition to transform the life proceeding from it.

The Light Must Shine Outward

The decisive movement of true worship is outward rather than inward toward the imagined location of an absent deity. Jesus expresses this principle in Matthew 5:16: “Let your light so shine before men, that they may see your good works, and glorify your Father which is in heaven.” The instruction does not ask humanity to preserve the light as a private possession or conceal it within the sanctuary of personal religious experience. The light is meant to become visible through conduct, illuminating the lives of others and revealing the nature of the Source through the goodness expressed by the children. Its direction is toward the world, where the conditions of incarnation provide opportunities for compassion, generosity, forgiveness, understanding, and restoration.

Love cannot fulfill its nature through possession alone. A gift withheld remains a possibility, while a gift freely offered becomes an actual expression that can produce further goodness within the relationship. The one who feeds the hungry does more than transfer material from one person to another. The act introduces compassion, dignity, trust, and the possibility of renewed generosity into a condition previously governed by deprivation. The one who forgives an injury does not erase the reality of what occurred, but may prevent its consequences from perpetuating the division through which further suffering would arise. In this way, Love produces a surplus of meaning whose value cannot be reduced to the quantity of material exchanged. The giver may surrender a temporary advantage while increasing the goodness available within the greater Whole.

This is the foundation of Bow With Unity, the vision of Benefactors of Orphans and Widows. True worship cannot be separated from the recognition that the vulnerable, the abandoned, the impoverished, and the forgotten remain members of the same indivisible Being. Their suffering cannot be dismissed as the private failure of another when the entire purpose of spiritual realization is the recognition of Unity. The orphan and widow become scriptural representatives of those whose circumstances reveal the need for the Whole to express the nature of Love through service. To bow with unity is not merely to lower one's head before an authority. It is to recognize the same foundational life within another and willingly respond to that life with the goodness one has received.

The movement of the light outward also corrects the temptation to turn spiritual realization into personal superiority. A person who declares an inward identity with the Father but uses that declaration

to dominate others has misunderstood the nature of the Source. If the Father gives Being freely, then realization of the Father's nature should increase the capacity to give rather than establish a new claim to possession. If Unity contains every relative expression, then the recognition of Unity should diminish the impulse toward exclusion rather than sanctify it. The measure of spiritual attainment is therefore not the grandeur of one's claims concerning consciousness, but the consistency with which those claims become visible as Love in action.

The Father Revealed Through the Children

The distinction between worshiping an image of the Father and expressing the Father's nature becomes clear when we consider the relationship between parent and child. A loving father does not bring forth children merely to receive endless declarations of admiration. The greater fulfillment is found when the children mature into the capacity to recognize, embody, and extend the goodness from which their lives proceeded. The parent gives from abundance so that what has been given may become fruitful within another. Likewise, First Cause does not require humanity to replenish a deficiency through ritual devotion. Love gives because giving belongs to its nature, and the children fulfill the purpose of that gift when they become capable of expressing the same goodness freely.

In John 14:12, Jesus declares that those who believe in him will do the works he does, and greater works. Within the work developed here, the statement becomes an invitation to participate in the continuing expression of divine nature rather than to remain forever dependent upon admiration of an exceptional figure. The work of the Son is not completed merely by establishing a doctrine concerning his identity. It becomes fruitful when the nature he reveals is expressed through the lives of those who recognize its meaning. The Father is glorified not because the children continually announce His greatness, but because the goodness of the Source becomes visible through their conduct.

The collective nature of this realization prevents the final purpose of worship from becoming the private triumph of a fortunate minority. If every being remains contained within the same Unity, then the restoration of the Whole cannot be completed through the permanent abandonment of its members. The education of consciousness may proceed through differing conditions, and individual beings may acquire understanding at different stages of their development, but no relative distinction creates an independent substance outside First Cause. The ultimate fulfillment of Love must therefore be considered in relation to the entire inheritance of Being. The true worshiper does not seek a private heaven purchased through the condemnation of others, but participates in the restoration of goodness wherever ignorance, fear, and division continue to obscure its recognition.

The Completion of Worship

The final mystery of true worship is that the need to worship an imagined external Father diminishes as the nature of the Father becomes consciously realized within the living temple. This does not mean that gratitude, wonder, reverence, or adoration disappear. Rather, these expressions become purified of the assumption that God is absent and must be summoned through religious effort. The worshiper no longer approaches the Source as a stranger attempting to obtain an audience. The relationship becomes

the recognition of an indivisible foundation of Being whose nature can be expressed through every act of genuine goodness.

Baptism reveals the entrance of awareness into incarnation, where consciousness encounters the divided conditions necessary for relative learning. The cross reveals the body as the temporary intersection of Spirit and worldly experience, through which the consequences of expression become known. The temple reveals the living habitation in which the mystery of the Father is capable of being recognized. Resurrection points toward the completion of the lesson, when awareness no longer mistakes its temporary conditions for the whole of its existence. These symbols form a coherent spiritual instruction when considered together, showing the movement from received Being through experience toward conscious realization of the nature originally given.

True worship is therefore not the adoration of an image, the repetition of sacred language, or the search for a missing Father. It is the recognition of Truth through Spirit and the expression of Love through the living temple. The Father requires no increase in perfection from the children, but the children must learn to recognize and embody the goodness that made their existence possible. The light has been given, the temple has been inhabited, and the cross of incarnation has provided the conditions through which wisdom may develop. What remains is the conscious choice to express that light outward into the world, where every relationship becomes an opportunity to reveal the nature of First Cause.

The purpose of worship reaches completion when devotion is no longer separated from the life being lived. The person who recognizes the Father within the temple does not abandon the world to seek a more sacred location, but enters the world with greater responsibility toward everyone sharing the same foundation of Being. Every act of mercy becomes an expression of reverence, every freely offered gift becomes a witness to abundance, and every restoration of divided relationships becomes a revelation of Unity. The Father is not made greater by our praise, nor is His nature diminished by our ignorance. It is our own understanding that changes as we move from the worship of appearances toward realization of the Truth they were intended to disclose.

We are His temple, the cross is our embodied condition, and baptism is our entrance into the waters of incarnation. The Father is not missing, and the light need not be summoned from beyond the boundaries of creation. The mystery is to recognize the Source already present within Being and allow that recognition to become the nature we express toward others. When the inward realization of Truth becomes the outward action of Love, worship has ceased to be merely something we perform and has become what we are learning to become. This is the worship that requires neither an image nor a distant sanctuary, for its witness is the life through which Spirit becomes visible in the world. It is the worship Jesus described in its simplest and most enduring form: worship in Spirit and in Truth.

Stephen T. McClard

Bow With Unity — Benefactors of Orphans and Widows